| IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CENTRAL | DIVISION FOR THE PROPERTY OF UTAH |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| DANA LYDELL SMITH, |)) Case No. 2:09-CV-620 DB |
| Petitioner, |) Case No. 2:09-CV-620 DB |
| V. |) District Judge Dee Benson |
| WARDEN PHILLIP VALDEZ et al., | ORDER |
| Respondents. |) |

Petitioner, Dana Lydell Smith, has filed a habeas corpus petition. See 28 U.S.C.S. § 2254 (2009). He is an Idaho prisoner challenging an Idaho conviction. In fact, almost two months before he filed this petition, he filed a very similar petition in the federal district court in Idaho. See Smith v. Valdez, No. 1:09-CV-251-CWD (D. Idaho May 26, 2009).

For two reasons, the Court denies this petition. First,

"the action should have been filed in the district in which

[Petitioner] was convicted and sentenced, i.e., the District of

[Idaho], and the District Court for the District of [Utah] would

have no jurisdiction." Montez v. McKinna, 208 F.3d 862, 865

(10th Cir. 2000). Second, a second or successive habeas

petitions, such as the one here, cannot be filed in district

court until the petitioner "move[s] in the appropriate court of

appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider

the application." 28 U.S.C.S. § 2244(b)(3)(A) (2009).

Case 2:09-cv-00620-DB Document 7 Filed 10/05/09 Page 2 of 2

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED this petition is DENIED for lack of jurisdiction. Considering that essentially the same petition is pending in the apparently proper district, this Court declines to transfer the petition to the District of Idaho.

DATED this 5th day of September, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

DEE BENSON

United States District Judge